Material Advances Improve Seal Reliability in **Extreme Application Conditions**

Diamond technology can also promote safety and provide exceptionally low emission sealing of critical equipment.

By Doug Volden & Sam Ajram FSA Members

uring the past 10 years, the industry has devoted extensive investment efforts to the research and development of advanced seal face materials capable of extending the application performance range and mean time between repairs (MTBR) of mechanical seals in pumps, compressors and other fluid-handling rotating equipment.

Much of this research involving universities, material development companies, laboratories and mechanical seal companies focused on diamond-like (DLC) and surface-grown diamond film coatings on conventional mechanical seal face material substrates. For today's end users, diamond film and diamond-like films provide solutions that enhance reliability, promote safety and provide exceptionally low emission sealing of critical equipment across many difficultto-seal fluids particular to the oil and gas industries. Diamond film combines the physical properties of diamond with the most advanced seal technologies available.

Seal designers admire the physical properties of diamond for its chemical resistance, hardness and durability. However, early forms of synthetic diamond developed in the 1950s using a high-pressure, high-temperature

(HPHT) process were primarily used in commercial applications where their abrasive properties were beneficial; they had little tribological value in the highly polished running surfaces of a mechanical seal interface.

Chemical vapor deposited (CVD) diamond, developed in the late '60s, is the result of an industrial process that creates a vapor-phase reaction that converts gases into a solid film on a prepared surface. Because its deposition lends itself to varied complex shapes, conventional CVD diamond has been used to improve cutting tools. However, early development efforts in the area of mechanical seal face films incorporated diamond grains that were microns in size. These microscopic diamond grains proved less than optimal for tribological performance requirements because they require significant and costly secondary post-deposition operations to achieve a viable surface flatness. As a result, they could not become a commercially justified material alternative.

More recently, scientists at Argonne National Laboratory invented a new method of manufacturing CVD diamond that renders a continuous film comprised of diamond grains that are 3 to 5 nanometers in size, a thousand-fold smaller than

EXAMPLES OF FIELD INSTALLATIONS

Case 1:

Industry: Oil and gas Pump shaft size: 1.000 inch

Fluid: Sour water (traces of ammonium

bisulfide)

Seal pressure: > 420 pounds per square inch

Speed: > 20,000 rpm

Temperature: 104 F

Previously: Siliconized graphite vs. tungsten

Currently: aSiC vs. diamond on aSiC Improved MTBR by 100 percent, from 3-4 months to 10-11 months

Sealing faces in very good condition when evaluated after 10 months running

Case 2:

Industry: Oil and gas Pump shaft size: 1.000 inch

Fluid: Condensate-water

Speed: 10,000-15,000 rpm

Temperature: 180 F max

Previously: Carbon vs. tungsten carbide Currently: Graphite loaded SiC vs. diamond on

Improved MTBR by 100 percent, from 10-12

months to 2 years

Sealing faces in very good condition at

shutdown for other reasons at 2 years running

Case 3:

Industry: Chemical/pharmaceutical Mixer shaft size: 8.000 inches

Fluid: Contact lens solution/dry running

Speed: < 10 rpm

Temperature: 250 F max

Previously: Graphite loaded SiC vs. tungsten

Currently: Diamond on α SiC vs. diamond on α SiC Excellent results being achieved against past performance

those on cutting tools. The transition from films of large micron-sized grains to nanocrystalline that are smooth when deposited provided a surface finish requiring less post-processing to make them useful in mechanical seals. This was a step toward a reproducible diamond film seal face with the hardness of natural diamond, lower friction characteristics than conventional seal face such as silicon carbide (αSiC), and excellent wear capability.

While diamond crystalline structure control and deposition processes have advanced considerably to the point of reasonable global commercial availability and adoption by several seal manufacturers, diamond films are not without limitations and inherent application constraints. The development path has had to overcome numerous technical challenges and varied field performance results. Researchers and developers had to understand the relationship between crystalline grain size, film adhesion capability and the tribological effects on seal interface lubrication. Overcoming intermittent film delamination under high-stress conditions continues to be an area of research and solution application testing.

A key factor in determining seal performance capability is the coefficient of friction value associated with a specific seal face material combination. Internally validated measurements by a Fluid Sealing Association (FSA) member company confirm that the use of micro-crystalline diamond significantly reduces the coefficient of friction when compared with other conventional seal face material combinations. This benefit is evident when comparing hard against hard-running face materials. The measured coefficient of friction when running hard faces versus αSiC was reduced by more than 50 percent when running diamond versus diamond or aSiC versus diamond on aSiC substrate.

Point solution performance testing was also completed in hot water, steam,



Circle 134 on card or visit psfreeinfo.com.



Circle 133 on card or visit psfreeinfo.com.

propane and abrasive slurries to stress the coating robustness and better define the application performance range capabilities of diamond faces when compared with traditional αSiC faces. In an accelerated-wear, 100hour hot water test at conditions of 250 F, 140 pounds per square inch gauge and 3,450 revolutions per minute, diamond versus diamond faces showed significantly less wear to both surfaces, cooler interface temperatures, lower power consumption and longer seal life projections. The hot water test results in Table 1 show the dramatic wear resistance of the diamond surface compared with uncoated aSiC. The accelerated hot water wear test uses an aggressive set of conditions that standard uncoated αSiC is unable to withstand, exhibiting grooving approaching 4,000 micro-inches and

	Carbon vs. Uncoated «Sic Pre-Test / Post Test	Diamond vs. Diamond Pre-Test / Post Test
Mating Ring Radial Slope	< 23 μ* / > 500 μ*	+ 23 μ* / 63 μ*
Mating Ring Wear	0 μ" / 4000 μ"	0 μ" / < 300 μ"
Primary Ring Wear (inches)	0 μ" / 0.001° - 0.002°	0 μ* / < 24 μ*

carbon wear in excess of 0.001 inches. The post-test diamond surfaces were nearly unchanged. In actual abrasive slurry testing, paired diamond faces showed less wear than conventional hard-face material combinations.

The potential benefits of diamond faces include: enhanced reliability in marginal lubrication applications; improved resistance to dry running and upset conditions; improved wear in abrasive media; lower coefficient of

friction; and lower energy use.

Diamond surface technology is expanding the tribological and application performance limits of existing seal designs and improving user equipment reliability and uptime on critical services. Recommended applications include abrasive environments, slurries, viscous fluids, hot water, poor lubricating fluids and multiphase fluids.

While diamond surfaces represent an exciting advance in sealing technology, users should exercise caution and discuss the detailed engineering analysis provided by the seal supplier on each potential application. Diamond coating adds considerable cost to a conventional seal face. Users must take into account cost versus economic benefit in any application by comparing current and projected MTBR, and performing an assessment of risks of applying diamond where performance has not been validated through testing.

Next Month: Valve packing installation and consolidation

We invite your suggestions for article topics as well as questions on sealing issues so we can better respond to the needs of the industry. Please direct your suggestions and questions to sealingsensequestions@fluidsealing.com.





Vapor Recovery? LPG Transfer? Natural Gas Boosting?

The answer is the FLSmidth® Ful-Vane™ rotary vane compressor!

Built robustly for long service life, it has only three moving parts. Combined with low operating speeds which minimizes wear and vibration, it is designed to not only outlast other compressors, but save you money on power and maintenance costs.

- Suitable for natural gas, flare gas, bio gases, LPG vapor, and ammonia refrigeration
- Carbon fiber vanes last longer than traditional blades
- Variable flows with VFD and/or bypass
- Single stage to 3000 SCFM, two-stage to 1800 SCFM
- Discharge pressures to 250 PSIG
- Made in the USA for over 80 years

Find out more at www.fismldth.com/compressors



Circle 132 on card or visit psfreeinfo.com.